



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/580,016

02/28/2007

Mads Hald Andersen

ANDERSEN8

7719

1444 7590 08/02/2010
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
624 NINTH STREET, NW
SUITE 300
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303

EXAMINER

YU, MISOOK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1642

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/02/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. 10/580,016 | Applicant(s) ANDERSEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner MISOOK YU | Art Unit 1642 | |

All Participants:

(1) MISOOK YU.

(2) Iver Cooper.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 50, 54-56, 66,71-75, 81, 88,91,and 92

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MISOOK YU/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed Examiner's amendment regarding claims 1, 50, 54-56, 66, 71-75, 81 were discussed on July 15, 2010 and the amendment was authorized by applicant's representative on July 16, 2010, and the amendment to claims 88, 89, 91 and 92 were further discussed on July 16, 2010 and the amendment to claims 88, 89, 91, and 92 were authorized by applicant's representative on July 20, 2010.